## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

LADESTRO DOUGLAS,	)	
ID # 48858-177,	)	
Movant,	)	No. 3:16-CV-2067-M (BH)
VS.	)	No. 3:14-CR-412-M (1)
	)	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	
Respondent	j	

## ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

After reviewing all relevant matters of record in this case, including the Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge for plain error, I am of the opinion that the Findings and Conclusions of the Magistrate Judge are correct and they are accepted as the Findings and Conclusions of the Court.

For the reasons stated in the Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge, the motion to vacate will be **TRANSFERRED** to the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit pursuant to *Henderson v. Haro*, 282 F.3d 862, 864 (5th Cir. 2002), and *In re Epps*, 127 F.3d 364, 365 (5th Cir. 1997), by separate judgment.<sup>1</sup>

SIGNED this 24th day of February, 2020.

BARBARA M. G. LYNN
CHIEF JUDGE

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> A certificate of appealability (COA) is not required to appeal an order transferring a successive habeas petition. *See In re Garrett*, 633 F. App'x 260, 261 (5th Cir. 2016); *United States v. Fulton*, 780 F.3d 683 (5th Cir.2015).